## SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED REPLACEMENT CHRISTCHURCH DISTRICT PLAN

in accordance with Clause 6 of the Schedule 1 of the Canterbury Earthquake (Christchurch Replacement District Plan) Order 2014

TO:			Christchurch City Council P.O. Box 73001, Christchurch 8154				
		dpreview@ccc.govt.nz			RECEIVED		
SUBMITTER NAME:			Luneys Buchanan Limited		- 9 NOV 2015 BY:		
ADDRESS	FOR SERVIC	E:	c/- Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd P.O. Box 679, Christchurch 8140				
CONTAC	T PERSON:		Patricia Harte, Davie Lovell-Smith patricia.harte@dls.co.nz	Ltd			
PHONE:			03 379-0793 or 021 807 905				
Trade Co	mpetition:			1			
We could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission:						☑N	
We are d		ed by an effect c he environment;	of the subject matter of the submissi and	ions that:			
(b) does	not relate to	trade competitic	on or the effects of trade competitio	on 🗆	Υ	□ N	
SUBMISS	SION 1						
The Proposal this submission relates to is:							
P	Proposal : Res	sidential New Ne	ighbourhood				
The spec	ific proposal	that this submis	ssion relates to is:				
A	Appendix 8.6.5 South Masham Outline Development Plan						
We 5	☑ Support	□ Oppose	☑ seek to have the above provis	ion amen	ded		
The Reas	ons for our s	submission is:					
We gene	rally support	the proposed re	evised Outline Development Plan for	South Ma	asham.		

Our concern relates to the density provisions in the narrative attached to the Outline Development Plan which imply, that as the density applies across the neighbourhood, that areas coloured brown and labelled "Residential development area" may be required to develop to a greater density than 15hhs/ha to

compensate for the yellow areas which are subject to development constraints.

3. DENSITY

D (

Across the neighbourhood a density of 15 hhs/ha is anticipated. Higher residential densities are expected towards Buchanans Road and the green corridor. The transmission lines and poultry farm will may place restrictions on development which may could limit residential development capacity within the areas identified as being subject to greater development constraints. No compensatory density is required elsewhere within the neighbourhood in these situations.

We do wish to be heard in support of our submission.

If others are making similar submissions we will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

P. Heade

Signature of person authorise to sign on behalf of submitter

4 November 2015