

**FURTHER SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED CHRISTCHURCH REPLACEMENT  
DISTRICT PLAN**

*Under Schedule 1, Clause 7(3) to the Canterbury Earthquake (Christchurch Replacement  
District Plan) Order 2014*

To: District Plan Submissions  
Christchurch City Council  
PO Box 73001  
Christchurch 8154

By email: dpreview@ccc.govt.nz

Submitter(s) name: Memorial Avenue Investments Limited

Postal address: c/- Anderson Lloyd  
PO Box 13831  
Christchurch 8141

Contact person: Mark Christensen / Sarah Eveleigh

Email address: mark.christensen@andersonlloyd.co.nz  
sarah.eveleigh@andersonlloyd.co.nz

Phone: 03 379 0037

**Further Submission**

1. This is a further submission on the proposed Memorial Business Park plan change proposal.
2. MAIL supports in part the following submissions as they relate to residential activities:
  - a. Roger Linton (M03);
  - b. Jared and Sarah Murtha (M15); and
  - c. Sarah Murtha (M16).
3. The submissions specifically supported and reasons for support are set out in **Appendix A** to the further submission.
4. MAIL has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has as the proponent of the proposal and the owner of the majority of the site to which it relates.

**Decision sought**

5. MAIL seek the following decision in relation to the proposals:
  - a. That the Replacement Plan provisions be amended to reflect the matters raised in this submission; and / or

- b. Such further, other or consequential relief as may be required to give effect to this submission, including consequential amendments to the Replacement Plan that address the matters raised by MAIL.
6. MAIL wishes to be heard in support of this submission. If others make a similar submission, MAIL will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Date: 2 March 2015



---

**M Christensen / S Eveleigh**  
on behalf of Memorial Avenue Investments Limited

**APPENDIX A**

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission number #</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	<b>Part of submission supported/opposed</b>	<b>Reasons</b>	<b>Decision sought</b>
<b>Roger Donald Linton</b>	<b>M03</b>	Support in part	The land is clearly of value as residential blocks	MAIL agrees that the land has value for some limited residential uses, acknowledging its location under the 50dBA air noise contour	Include provision for residential elderly care facilities (rest homes) as a permitted activity within 16.4.5.1.1, subject to activity specific standards requiring noise insulation complying with the 'Indoor design sound levels' as specified in Part 4, Appendix 1 of the City Plan, or equivalent standard. Amend non-complying activity NC3 within 16.4.5.1.5 to exclude residential elderly care facilities as permitted by 16.4.5.1.1.
<b>Jared and Sarah Murtha</b>	<b>M15</b>	Support in part	Avonhead Road should be kept purely residential	MAIL agrees that some limited residential use is appropriate, acknowledging the sites location under the 50dBA air noise contour	As above
<b>Sarah Joan Murtha</b>	<b>M16</b>	Support in part	As above	As above	As above