

#### SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED REPLACEMENT CHRISTCHURCH DISTRICT PLAN

in accordance with Clause 6 of the Schedule 1 of the Canterbury Earthquake (Christchurch Replacement District Plan) Order 2014

ı	(	J	:

Christchurch City Council,

P.O. Box 73001, Christchurch 8154

dpreview@ccc.govt.nz

RECEIVED					
	1	0	NOV	2015	
PV.					

**SUBMITTER NAME:** 

Milns Road Farm Limited and Blakesfield Limited

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE:

c/- Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd

P.O. Box 679, Christchurch 8140

**CONTACT PERSON:** 

Julie Comfort, Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd

Julie.Comfort@dls.co.nz

**PHONE:** 

03 379-0793

T1 - 4	C			
Trade (	Competition:			
We cou	uld gain an advantage in trade competition throu	gh this submission	: □ Y ☑ N	
If Yes to	o above, then:			
We are (a)	e directly affected by an effect of the subject mat adversely affects the environment; and	ter of the submissi	ons that:	
(b)	does not relate to trade competition or the effe	ects of trade compe	etition 🗆 Y 🗆 N	

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Milns Road Farm Limited and Blakesfield Limited own 53 and 85 Milns Road. These properties are legally described as Lot 4 DP 5206 & Lot 2 DP 468260, and are held in Certificates of Title CB406/269 & 627999, respectively. This land is to be rezoned Residential New Neighbourhood and is therefore subject to the North Halswell Outline Development. The submitters' land is identified in Attachment 1.

### **SUBMISSION 1**

The Proposal this submission relates to is:

Proposal: Residential New Neighbourhood

The specific proposal that this submission relates to is:

Appendix 8.6.4 North Halswell Outline Development Plan

We ☑ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ seek to have the above provision amended



## The Reasons for our submission is:

We generally support the proposed revised Outline Development Plan (ODP) for North Halswell. In particular, we support the indicative roading layout and the provision of first flush basins for the southern portion of the ODP that adjoins Milns Road as shown.

The Decision we seek is:				
1.		_	yout and stormwater network, including the provision of the North Halswell ODP is retained as notified.	of first flush
				DI
SUBM	SSION 2			
The Pr	oposal this subn	mission relates t	o is:	
	Proposal: Resi	dential New Nei	ghbourhood	
The sp	ecific proposal t	that this submiss	sion relates to is:	
	Appendix 8.6.4	North Halswell	Outline Development Plan	
We	☐ Support	□ Oppose	☑ seek to have the above provision amended	
The Re	asons for our su	ubmission is:		
ODP sh route establi the res	nould not be restalong the upgoshment of the locale	stricted to the go raded waterwa ocal reserve with the submitter's l	for North Halswell, we consider the provision of reserve eneral areas where they are shown. The provision of a ys is appropriate, but it is not necessary to then hin the same locality. As such it is considered appropriate land to a position away from the water way. The positor of the proposed collector road that intersects with Wil	recreational require the e to relocate n considered
	cision we seek i	is that the provi	sion: ☑ Amended as follows:	
Be	⊔ retained	□ Deleted	El Amended as follows:	
1.		for North Halswe s shown in Attac	ell is amended by shifting the reserve shown on the subr hment 1.	mitters' land

# **SUBMISSION 3**

The Pro	posal this subm	nission relates to	is:	
	Proposal: Residential New Neighbourhood			
The spe	ecific proposal th	hat this submissi	on relates to is:	
	Appendix 8.6.4	North Halswell (	Outline Development Plan	
We	☐ Support	☐ <b>Oppose</b>	☑ seek to have the above provision amended	
The Rea	asons for our sul	bmission is:		
Neighbornarrative Design the Res	ourhood Design ve are essentiall Guide is just tha	Guide within the ly 'rules' as any at – a guide that	P for North Halswell, we consider the reference to the New e narrative of the ODP is not appropriate. The ODP and associated development is to be undertaken in accordance with them. The illustrates one potential way of achieving the outcomes sought for ne. Reference to the Guide within the narrative gives it importance	
The De	cision we seek is	s that the provis	ion:	
Be	☐ Retained	☐ Deleted	☑ Amended as follows:	
1.	last sentence	of the first pa	Requirements', that the first paragraph is amended by deleting the aragraph, which reads "Means of achieving the less tangible and in the Christchurch City Council's New Neighbourhood Design	
We <b>do</b>	wish to be heard	l in support of ou	ur submission.	
If other	s are making sim	nilar submissions	we will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.	
ful	li Carfort	·		
		horise to sign on	behalf of submitter	
10 Nove	ember 2015			

Attachment 1 – Amendment sought to ODP

