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1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 23 JULY 2013

The minutes of the committee’s meeting held on 23 July 2013 are attached.

The Committee is asked to approve these minutes as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

3. MATTERS ARISING

4. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

5. CULTURAL MAPPING

The Committee will receive a presentation from Takarei Norton regarding cultural mapping in Banks Peninsula. This item relates to recommendation 1.9 in the Zone Implementation Programme.

6. IDENTIFICATION OF URGENT ITEMS

7. IDENTIFICATION OF ANY GENERAL PUBLIC CONTRIBUTIONS

    7.1 Regional Committee Update
    7.2 Banks Peninsula Global Stormwater Consent (refer attached)
    7.3 Sediment and Consents Process
    7.4 Christchurch City Council District Plan
    7.5 Community Update
    7.6 Update: Land Management Adviser Activities (refer attached)

8. Wairewa Sub-Regional Plan Process

The Committee will receive a report on the Wairewa sub-regional plan process (refer attached).

9. IMMEDIATE STEPS UPDATE

The Committee will receive an update on the immediate steps programme, including a regional update (refer attached). Two possible immediate steps projects to be discussed are:

    • Le Bons Bay
    • Koukourārata

10. PRONUNCIATION PRACTICE

The Committee will engage in a short practice of Te Reo, including individual mihi.

11. REFRESHING ZONE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

The Committee will receive a report regarding the refreshment of zone committee membership from Lesley Woudberg, Environment Canterbury (refer attached).
12. FACILITATOR’S UPDATE 7PM

The Committee will receive an update from Lesley Woudberg including the following items (refer attached):

- Progress report on ZIP recommendations
- Agenda items for the next meeting
A meeting of the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee was held in the Governors Bay Hotel, Governors Bay on Tuesday 23 July 2013 at 4pm

PRESENT:
Richard Simpson, Community Representative (Chairperson)
Yvette Couch-Lewis, Community Representative
Iaean Cranwell, Te Rūnanga o Wairewa
Steve Lowndes, Community Representative
Pam Richardson, Community Representative
June Swindells, Te Hapu O Ngati Wheke
Riki Lewis, Te Rūnanga o Koukourarata

APOLOGIES:
An apology for absence was received and accepted from Councillor Claudia Reid, Pere Tanui, Kevin Simcock, and Commissioner Donald Couch.

An apology for lateness was received and accepted from Pam Richardson and Steve Lowndes who arrived at 6.30pm and were absent for clauses 5, 6 and 11.

The meeting was opened with a karakia from Iaean Cranwell.

Due to lack of a quorum, the Committee members present at 4pm agreed to hold a discussion on the following agenda items in this order.

1. SEDIMENT STUDY REPORT - WHAKARAUPŌ

The Committee received a presentation from Deidre Hart, Canterbury University, relating to the annotated bibliography on research undertaken of sedimentation and circulation in Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour (refer attached). The report is a response to recommendation 5.1 in the Zone Implementation Programme. The presentation provided a review of the key findings and gaps found in the annotated bibliography sources and included the following points:

- Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour is naturally prone to infilling but this has been accelerated by human activities
- patterns of sedimentation have been dominated by accretion
- different sub catchments in Banks Peninsula have varying factors which contribute to sedimentation.

2. ECOLOGICAL MONITORING - WHAKARAUPŌ

The Committee received a presentation and summary of work on ecological monitoring involving Whakaraupō from Lesley Bolton-Richie, Environment Canterbury, which included the following points (refer attached):

- sedimentation is an insidious problem in the harbour, both from sediment deposits and suspended sediment in the water
- zostera beds appear to be missing from Whakaraupō, but are present in Akaroa harbour where there is less sedimentation
- the coarser the sediment the more variety of animals appear to be present.

The report supports recommendation 1.7, 10.3 and 10.4 of the Zone Implementation Programme. The Committee suggested that Lesley Woudberg, Facilitator – Environment Canterbury, investigate ways which the Committee could contribute through the recommendations in the Zone Implementation Programme (ZIP) to slow the sedimentation rate in Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour. Projects emerging from the ZIP could be targeted around decreasing sedimentation. Kim Kelleher from the Lyttelton Port Company indicated they would be interested in any sedimentation projects going forward.
3. SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE ISSUES

The Committee received a summary of compliance issues in Banks Peninsula from Fiona Nicol, Environment Canterbury. Fiona recommended residents use the Environment Canterbury Pollution Hotline 0800 76 55 88 if they notice pollution, including sediments, entering the waterways. It was noted that the majority of Environment Canterbury’s prosecutions and enforcement come from calls to the Pollution Hotline.

*The discussion adjourned at 6pm and convened as a meeting with a quorum at 6.30pm following supper.*

4. PRONUNCIATION PRACTICE

The Committee participated in a short conversational practice of Te Reo focusing on a basic mihi.

5. WETLANDS / WHAKARAUPō UPDATE

The Committee received an update from Yvette Couch-Lewis on wetlands, including Whakaraupō. Ngai Tahu and specifically Rapaki iwi are in the process of scoping a wetlands project and putting a funding proposal together. Rapaki iwi are hoping to present a paper to the Committee at the August 2013 meeting.

6. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Pam Richardson and Richard Simpson declared an interest in Item 8.2 due to their involvement with the Banks Peninsula Conservation Trust.

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 21 MAY AND 18 JUNE 2013

It was decided that the minutes of 21 May and 18 June 2013 be approved as a true and accurate record.

8. MATTERS ARISING

8.1 Immediate Steps

The Committee decided to allocate $41,775 in Immediate Steps Funding for the Allandale Stream Reserve Project.

The Committee decided to allocate $45,000 in Immediate Steps Funding for the Mannys Reserve Covenant.

8.2 Land Manager’s Project Schedule

The Committee decided to adopt the Draft Project Schedule for the Resource Management Group of Environment Canterbury to follow over the next 6-12 months to assist the Committee in implementing priority areas in the Banks Peninsula Zone Implementation Programme.
9. IDENTIFICATION OF ANY GENERAL PUBLIC CONTRIBUTIONS

9.1 Pam Richardson reported on a meeting held to develop biodiversity indicators for Banks Peninsula as part of the ZIP recommendation 4.2. Representatives from various groups reported on their roles and monitoring programmes being undertaken or developed. Comments at the meeting included:

- Environment Canterbury are developing a regional reporting plan
- baselines need to be determined to measure change in biodiversity
- preference for building on existing monitoring

9.2 Pam Richardson reported on recent issues being addressed by the Akaroa Waiwera Community Board which include:

- flooding in Akaroa, Little River and bays
- land swap in the Kaituna area
- update on community water supplies
- discussion regarding new water supplies
- a presentation on the Waiwera sub-regional plan

9.3 Steve Lowndes reported on the Regional Zone Committee meeting which was held on 23 July. The main focus of the meeting was the consideration of the annex to the Selwyn Waihora Zone Implementation Programme.

10. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

11. BIODIVERSITY FORUM REPORT

The Committee received a verbal report from Pam Richardson on the Banks Peninsula Conservation Forum which was held on 1 July 2013. The forum has a weed and pest focus and brings people with a common interest in biodiversity together to share information.

The Committee decided to appoint Pam Richardson as the Committee’s representative on the Banks Peninsula Conservation Forum which supports recommendation 4.8 in the Zone Implementation Programme.

12. IDENTIFICATION OF URGENT ITEMS

Nil.

13. FACILITATORS UPDATE

The Committee received an update from Lesley Woudberg including the following items:

- draft Zone Committee newsletter
- Progress report on ZIP recommendations
- Sub-Regional working party update

Richard Simpson complimented Lesley on behalf of the Committee for the great work she is doing as facilitator.

The meeting concluded at 8.10pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 20TH DAY OF AUGUST 2013

YVETTE COUCH-LEWIS
DEPUTY CHAIRPERSON
Christchurch City Council  
City Environment Group  

Memorandum  

Date:  12 August 2013  
From:  BRIAN NORTON, PLANNING ENGINEER, ASSET & NETWORK PLANNING  
To:  PETER KINGSBURY, PRINCIPAL ADVISOR NATURAL RESOURCES, STRATEGY & PLANNING  

RE: BANKS PENINSULA STORMWATER UPDATE FOR ZONE COMMITTEE MEETING  

Christchurch City Council is currently in the process of developing an application to the Canterbury Regional Council for an interim “Global” stormwater discharge consent to cover stormwater discharges on Banks Peninsula. The scope of this consent is still being developed but was originally intended, similar to the City “Global” consent, to legalise all existing discharges. The consent would also provide a framework under which discharges from limited future development could also be authorised. This interim consent would cover the anticipated gap between when the Natural Resources Regional Plan requires local authorities to have completed Stormwater Management Plan (within 5 years of Rule WQL8 becoming operative) and the point at which City Council expect to be able to develop and implement the full SMP.  

Currently the 2009 Surface Water Strategy prioritises the Banks Peninsula Stormwater Management Plans (or ‘SMP’s; formerly called Integrated Catchment Management Plans – ‘ICMP’s) as being “Long-term (10 plus years)”, meaning that work would not be expected to begin sooner than 2019. Post earthquake, Council’s Natural Environment Recovery Programme places the timeframe for the Banks Peninsula SMPs at 2017-2020.  

We expect the duration of an interim consent would be on the order of 15-20 years to bridge this gap.  

The interim consent would provide very little, if any, physical improvement to existing drainage infrastructure on Banks Peninsula. Improvements would only typically be installed to mitigate new development. At the moment, very little in the way of rates for land drainage purposes are collected in Banks Peninsula and most works performed by Council are limited to maintenance or emergency repairs.  

There are also legal issues which need to be resolved regarding maintenance and operational obligations of the waterways between CCC and the Regional Council. These issues are complicated, as they relate to various legal documents such as the Local Government Act 1974 and 2002, the Christchurch District Drainage Act 1951, the Land Drainage Act 1908, the Soil Conservation and Rivers Control Act 1941, and the effect of the 1989 Reorganisation Order.  

We will update you further once the interim consent scope has been developed further.  

Brian Norton  
PLANNING ENGINEER  
ASSET & NETWORK PLANNING TEAM GREENSPACE  
CITY ENVIRONMENT GROUP  
941-8394  

Our Ref:
AGENDA ITEM NO: 7.6  |  SUBJECT MATTER: Update: Land Management Adviser Activities

REPORT TO:  |  DATE OF MEETING: 20 AUGUST 2013
BANKS PENINSULA ZONE COMMITTEE  |  ACTION: For Information
PREPARED BY: ANNA VELTMAN

PURPOSE
Update - activities of the Land Management Adviser for the period July/August 2013

REPORT

Community Engagement and Education
- Commenced pulling together a list of key groups and contacts for each of the sub-catchment areas identified on page 6 in ZIP; and have begun meeting with them to clarify overlaps and synergies with ZIP Recommendations and Zone Committee work (PS1 – 1.1). Also gathering suggestions for effective means of interaction and communications.
- Participated in a well-attended, broad representation meeting on BP Youth Engagement (initiated by ECan Youth Engagement Team) that identified what is currently happening around the Peninsula (and even in CHCH); and to propose ways and means of more effective coordination – I will aim to build youth engagement into future projects/work programme (PS – 1.5)

Land, Water and Coastal Engagement
- Continued scoping interest and feasibility of establishing a catchment group in Okains Bay by identifying values and actions currently underway in the community (PS – 2.1)

Well Informed Community
- Approached ‘Lyttelton News’ (monthly insert in Akaroa News) contacts to potentially cover the July ZC agenda items around sedimentation process and ecological monitoring results in Lyttelton Harbour/Whakaraupō – hoping this will result in article in next publication (PS – 4.1 & 4.2)

Other
- Provided advice to land owners with concerns about land slips following the high rainfall events in June – planting for land stabilisation etc
- Arranged follow-up meeting with Kevin Simcock, ECan Consent and Compliance staff, and CCC Building Inspectors to discuss recommendation of ZC in April that ‘… there is a meeting between developers and the relevant Christchurch City Council and Environment Canterbury authorities to outline the community expectations regarding the management of the earthworks …’. Some quite innovative ideas came out of the meeting that are being investigated by ECan staff – I will provide further feedback on this when progress is made
- Participated in an initial meeting between Ōnuku Rūnanga representatives and the new land owner in catchment from which the Ōnuku marae source their water (initiated through BPCT and ECan staff) – lots of goodwill to work together
- Met with BPCT Wildside Coordinator and another community member in Le Bons Bay for general update on their work etc. There was also discussion about possible community interest in commencing some coordinated work in the area – suggested bringing ideas to the attention of the ZC – likely during Sept meeting in Akaroa.

1 Project Schedule 23/7/2013
AGENDA ITEM NO: 8  
SUBJECT MATTER: Wairewa Sub-regional Plan

REPORT TO:  
BANKS PENINSULA ZONE COMMITTEE  
DATE OF MEETING: 20 AUGUST 2013

PREPARED BY: Nick Regnault  
ACTION:  
For Information  
For Decision on point (4)

PURPOSE
To update the Zone Committee on the outcomes of community engagement to date, and on the next steps of the process.

RECOMMENDATION
That the Zone Committee:

1) Note the outcomes of phase 1 consultation as being sufficient to undertake the next phase of consultation.
2) Note that community workshops will be held in September through to November, and that outcomes will be reported to the Zone Committee.
3) Note that Zone committee members are encouraged to attend community workshops.
4) Decide on the role of the Working Party in the next phase.

REPORT

1. The Committee is tasked with developing a preferred solutions package that will achieve the ZIP priority outcomes, and recommending this to Environment Canterbury. An Addendum to the ZIP will record the package, and will include plan drafting instructions that will frame a change to the proposed Land and Water Regional Plan (the ‘sub-regional plan’).

2. A critical component to assist the Zone Committee in its deliberations will be the views of the community. These are being captured through three phases of community engagement:

- Phase 1. Gathering views on issues and possible solutions.
- Phase 2. Identifying and debating solutions
- Phase 3. Reporting back (through Zone Committee meetings)

3. Phase 1 concluded with the Open Day on 3 August. An analysis of the outcomes is appended. Over 350 people were contacted, and 95 provided feedback, either over the phone or at the Open Day. Thirty-five people visited the Open Day and 15 confirmed that they wanted to participate in community
workshops. This should be seen as a very successful outcome in a catchment that has been heavily consulted in recent years.

4. The project is now moving into phase 2 of engagement. Community workshops provide opportunities for debate about different values held in the community. Environment Canterbury will provide technical information (addressing ecological, environmental, social, cultural and recreational values) for participants to consider and discuss. Workshop participants will:

   a. Agree criteria against which solutions will be debated. These will direct the technical team to provide the necessary assessments.
   b. Consider the proposed solutions and form views about the appropriateness of solution options for the catchment.

5. Direction is sought from the Zone Committee on the role of the Working Party in this next phase. The options for Working party members are to:

   a. Attend the community workshops as observers,
   b. Participate fully in the debate with community members,
   c. Act as facilitators should attendance numbers demand additional resources.
Attachment: Analysis of Phase 1 Consultation

Background
The Banks Peninsula Zone Committee wanted to ‘continue the conversation’ with the catchment community about their concerns about the state of Te Roto o Wairewa, what they think the issues are, their ideas for solutions and their overall vision for the lake.

Before the Open Day Environment Canterbury conducted a survey of Little River residents and also those from surrounding areas, asking them of their opinions on the lake and informing them of the Open Day being held. Every person in the catchment was sent a letter informing them that a representative from the Environment Canterbury would be calling them to discuss their views ahead of the Open Day.

Only people in the catchment were sent a letter, however the phone survey was extended to residents of Akaroa, Lyttelton and surrounding areas (Diamond Harbour, Governors Bay)

Phone survey
The phone survey was conducted over four days leading up the Open Day (29 July – 1 August 2013). There were 351 calls made. Of that 351, 78 people completed the survey. The remaining were either unable to be contacted or were not willing to partake in the survey. Every person who did not answer the first time was tried again later at an alternative time.

Questions and results from phone survey
The following questions were asked in the phone survey:

1) What are the things that concern you most about Te Roto o Wairewa?
48% of respondents said it was polluted or were concerned about the general cleanliness
15% of respondents mentioned Algae Bloom as being the main concern
14% of respondents were concerned with flooding or not opening the lake enough
10% of respondents mentioned dairy run off or farming in general to be the biggest concern

Other areas of concern included:
Overfishing
The colour
Stagnation
Lake flies
Lack of flow
2) **Do you think these issues can be resolved?**
35% of respondents answered yes
6% of respondents answered no
38% of respondents were not sure or thought some of the problems could be solved but not all of them

**Additional Comments**
“The issues are so complex and have been going on for such a long time that the damage may not be able to be completely reversed”
“Don’t know anymore, have lost faith and feel it could be too late”
“Hopefully it can be helped but I’m not sure if it can be solved”

3) **What are some of the things that can be done to solve these issues?**
21% of respondents thought that there should be stricter rules around farming in the area, more fencing to keep stock out and/or better education around the stock/farming issues
14% of respondents thought that opening the lake to the sea more would be beneficial
6% of respondents thought that there should be more lakeshore plantings

**Other solutions included:**
Stopping the erosion
Addressing the main cause of pollution
Lock system as in Europe
Better use of the Groyne
Control the bird population
More education
Widen the channel

4) **What is your vision for the lake?**
34% of respondents would like it to be usable for recreational purposes
16% of respondents would like to see the water quality improved
12% of respondents would like to see more biodiversity in the area
6% of respondents would like to see the lake be bought back to its natural state of health

**Other responses included:**
Would like to feel protected from flooding
Drain the lake, remove the sediment and weeds then re-flood the lake
Walking track around the lake
Improved attitude towards bird life

5) **Is there anything else you would like to add? (a selection of comments)**
- *Local Maori have a vision that needs to be supported.... The Zone Committee are doing a good job and it is important that we don’t step back and put it on the back burner*
• It would be great to have more information about the lake and understand its condition a bit better
• Curious to know if anyone else is concerned about the clubbing of geese
• Interested to see what happens
• Nick Smith has lost his way - feels he is driven by conservation to make a profit using it as tourism asset rather than focussing on its species. She feels that conservation is losing its scientific function
• The lake is an eyesore at the moment and would love to see a clear water lake instead of green sludge
• Generally thinks NZ farmers are getting away with A LOT and they should be held more accountable. It would be interesting to see how profitable they really are and if they are not that profitable maybe they should not be farming
• Concerned about attending public meetings as feels some people in the community tend to sway other community members
• Wondered if the lake in its current state was a natural process and if it was then should we be messing with it?
• What goes into it runs from roads, sprays from alongside the roads and farming, maybe sewerage traces but the run off isn't that big of an area but in times of rain lots of water goes in there and it sits. He has watched how Ngai Tahu is trying to keep it open permanently but it does not seem to be helping

Attendance at Open Day
The respondents were then told about the Open Day and asked if they planned to attend.
28 No
24 Maybe
10 yes

Open Day Feedback
Attendees to the Open Day were given the opportunity to fill out a feedback form which contained the same questions as the phone survey. In addition to this they were also asked if they would to participate in community workshops.

There were 35 attendees at the open day and 18 forms completed.

Questions and results from feedback form

1) What are the things that concern you most about Te Roto o Wairewa?
29% were concerned with the overall health of the lake
17% were concerned with Alge Bloom
11% were concerned with the lake levels
11% were concerned about stock having access to the lake

Other areas of concern included:
Eels lying dead on the river banks
Nutrients
Lack of vegetation
Sediment and Phosphorus issues
Geese
Groyne experiment not working well
2) **What are some of the things that can be done to solve these issues?**

- 23% wanted the water flow to be better controlled
- 17% wanted more plantings around the lake
- 11% suggested dredging
- 11% suggested more fencing

**Other solutions included:**
- Stricter controls on stock
- Efficient management
- Remove the silt
- Regular informative workshops involving specialists and the community with follow-up consultation

3) **What is your vision for the lake?**

- 52% wanted the lake to be able to be used as a recreational area
- 29% wanted a clean lake, free of weeds
- 17% wanted an area rich in biodiversity
- 11% wanted the lake to be able to be used as a food source

**Other responses included:**
- Reduce cattle beast and lake weed beds
- Don’t want drainage/flood chemicals
- Fishing/canoeing and other boats but no motor boats
- A healthy wetland area surrounded by native vegetation and being fed by healthy streams
- A lake of fresh water with minimal salt water intake

4) **Is there anything else you would like to add? (a selection of comments)**

- Give information on what we can achieve, the lake health and quality of water in the lake
- Less fish and eels in the river now than there were. Needs knowledge about what is been done to prevent floods and how successful it has been
- Reduce swan numbers
- No joining both lakes
- I’d like tuna to be available to buy for the locals
- As a village there are waste-water issues that needs to be addressed
- Look again at the possibility of a separate rating district
- Our lake wasn’t always like this so the process must be reversible. What about some of the septic tanks that are supposedly leaking?
- More up-to-date information being available on the Environment Canterbury website regarding development and up-coming events
- Regular communication with land-owners or managers
- Consultations and workshops at Birdlings Flat due to their proximity of contaminated land
- Restore the beach to pre-Groyne surroundings and removal of Groyne rocks along the beach.
**Workshop**
Fifteen people have indicated that they would like to take part in workshops. One person is possibly interested.

**Summary**
The majority of people spoken to, either by telephone or at the open day were aware that there was a pollution problem with Te Roto o Wairewa. Almost all of the respondents wanted to see the lake restored to its natural state and to be used as a food source, for recreation purposes and would like to see the wildlife return.

Most people Environment Canterbury spoke to were happy to answer the questions and appreciated the letter and phone call ahead of the open day.
AGENDA ITEM NO: 9  SUBJECT MATTER: IMMEDIATE STEPS PROJECTS

REPORT TO: BANKS PENINSULA ZONE COMMITTEE

DATE OF MEETING: 
20 AUGUST 2013

PREPARED BY: ROBYN RUSS

ACTION: FOR DECISION

PURPOSE

To provide an update to the Zone Committee on the Immediate Steps funds allocated to date and to present two new project proposals to the committee for consideration. In addition, a project already approved by the committee (Te Wharau Stream) is being brought back before the committee for re-consideration as a result of significant cost changes to the proposed work programme.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Zone Committee agree to support both the Koukourarata Stream Restoration and Le Bons Stream Restoration projects, and agree to the proposed changes to the Te Wharau Stream project works.

SUMMARY

Koukourarata Stream Restoration project proposal is requesting $22,460 worth of Immediate Steps funds to support the runanga and local landowners to further restore the riparian margins and in-stream habitat of Koukourarata Stream. Le Bons Stream project proposal is requesting $5,280 to support the community to undertake riparian planting and restoration of a section of the Le Bons Stream.

Te Wharau Stream project proposal is requesting a change to the proposed works by only installing one culvert, and installing fences to maintain a controlled stock crossing instead of a culvert at the second site.

REPORT

The zone committee has $100,000 of Immediate Steps funding a year for five years. In late 2011 $67,300 was allocated towards four projects. In 2013 to date, the zone committee has approved $95,007 worth of projects.

Based on the above information, the total amount committed to projects is $162,307, leaving $337,693 of IMS funds yet to allocate given a $500,000 (5 year) funding programme. Note that funding can be rolled over to subsequent years.
Funding Allocation - To Date

Table 1: Overview of funding allocation and spend to date for Banks Peninsula IMS projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Allocated</th>
<th>Spent*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round 1 projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haylocks Stream</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Wharau Stream, Orton Bradley</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okana River</td>
<td>$7,900</td>
<td>$7,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opuahou Stream</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
<td>$15,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$67,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$34,730</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round 2 projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhetoro Stream</td>
<td>$8,232</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okana Confluence</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,232</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round 3 projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allandale Stream Reserve</td>
<td>$41,775</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannys Reserve Covenant</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$86,775</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$162,307</strong></td>
<td><strong>$34,730</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total remaining to be allocated (5 yr programme)</strong></td>
<td>$337,693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Spent means paid to recipients or contractors. On the ground works may yet to be undertaken (i.e QEII and Banks Peninsula Conservation Trust are paid up-front).
Table 2: Overview of project work status for approved Banks Peninsula IMS projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Project and Catchment</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>IS funding allocation (excludes 1/3)</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round One Projects (December 2011)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haylocks Stream (Outer Bays)</td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
<td>Fence completed. Audit due to take place soon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Wharau Stream (Lyttelton/Whakaraupo)</td>
<td>Culverts, fencing and planting</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>New project proposal on the table for consideration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okana Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Weed control (willows) and planting</td>
<td>$7,900</td>
<td>Project completed. Audit completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opuahou Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Weed control (willows) fencing and planting</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
<td>Willow control completed. Last fences going up. Some funds left over for more plants – working with landowner to get them in the ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round Two Project (February 2013 approved)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhetoro Stream (Outer Bays)</td>
<td>Fencing, planting and weed control</td>
<td>$8,232</td>
<td>Rūnanga have done site visit and have approved project*. Contract process underway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round Three Projects (July 2013 approved)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allandale Stream Reserve (Lyttelton/Whakaraupo)</td>
<td>Fencing and planting</td>
<td>$41,775</td>
<td>Contract process underway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannys Reserve Covenant (Outer Bays)</td>
<td>Fencing and covenant registration</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Contract process underway.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Riki Lewis provided the following cultural values report on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Koukourarata:

“On inspection of these sites we were first struck by the wonderful attitude of the owners. They could not do enough to express their passion for the fencing, planting and retention of native flora.

Although this area is culturally significant in that it is part of the walkways of our ancestors it is not recognised as an occupied site. We found it well planted with both mature and young native trees in particular and the owners are looking forward to fencing and planting these sites. A particularly good feeling was exuded by this area”*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Project and Catchment</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Immediate Steps Funds Requested</th>
<th>1/3rd Contribution Funds Requested</th>
<th>Zone Committee Criteria Score</th>
<th>Ecological Assessment Score</th>
<th>Cultural Assessment Score</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koukourarata Stream Restoration (Outer Bays)</td>
<td>First stage of a multi-year riparian planting project. Length of stream to be planted is 800m long. Stream already done by the school. Next step to restore riparian vegetation. Overall plan to connect BPCT covenant bush all the way to the sea.</td>
<td>$36,940</td>
<td>$22,460</td>
<td>$14,480</td>
<td>19/39</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium/High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Bons Stream Restoration (Outer Bays)</td>
<td>Small community driven restoration project to continue work already done by the school. Work involves control of willow/weed control and plants.</td>
<td>$11,915</td>
<td>$5,280</td>
<td>$6,635</td>
<td>16/39</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te Wharau Stream

Due to a necessary change to the design of the culverts to avoid significant flooding issues, the cost of the culverts has gone up significantly since the original application. As a result, the Orton Bradley Trust is proposing a change to the project works/funding breakdown and wish to seek the Zone committee’s approval of the changes.

**Original Approved Project Proposal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Tasks</th>
<th>Immediate Steps Funds</th>
<th>Other Sources</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two stock crossing culverts</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$3,700 (in-kind time from Orton Bradley staff and corrections department crew)</td>
<td>$28,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Plantings</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$4,475 (in-kind time to prep, plant and maintain plants)</td>
<td>$7,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management, ‘Funnel’ fencing next to culverts and weed control</td>
<td>$5,900 (in-kind time from Orton Bradley Staff and correction department crew)</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$42,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The changes include:
- installing only one of the stock crossing ‘bridges’ and Orton Bradley will pay more towards the plants; and
- Orton Bradley installing fencing and gates on the upper crossing location instead of a second culvert. The fence and gates will still achieve a ‘stock free’ status for the stream most of the time, but still allow controlled stock crossings when required.

**Amended Project Proposal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Tasks</th>
<th>Immediate Steps Funds</th>
<th>Other Sources</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One stock crossing bridge (downstream site)</td>
<td>$25,510</td>
<td>$1,150 (in-kind time from Orton Bradley staff and corrections department crew)</td>
<td>$27,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Plantings</td>
<td>$2,490</td>
<td>$4,985 (cash contribution towards plants &amp; in-kind time to prep, plant and maintain plants)</td>
<td>$7,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management, ‘Funnel’ fencing next to culvert, weed control and fencing and gates on upper stock crossing site</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,900 (in-kind time from Orton Bradley staff and correction department crew)</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$15,035</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,035</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Project and Catchment</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream in Teddington Catchment (Lyttelton/Whakaraupo)</td>
<td>Landowner has gained support from a good proportion of landowners in the entire catchment. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Farm Valley (Akaroa)</td>
<td>Landowner keen to do some fencing and planting of streams on his property. Potential for catchment to be fully fenced, one or two landowners left.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Harbour (Lyttelton/Whakaraupo)</td>
<td>Community driven project to restore start of Mt Herdert walkway (gully and stream).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alymers Stream (Akaroa)</td>
<td>Community led project to combine multiple landowners (permits up to 8) to protect and control and stream restoration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okuti Valley (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on water values, protection and restoration. Plus also interest in co-ordinating educational workshops for local residents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in process to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Covenant application in progress to protect bush and streams which flow down into the Brelemeyers Forest and Stream (Wairewa).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okata River (Wairewa)</td>
<td>Community on Okata River. Proposal almost ready for consideration – liaising with individual landowners currently to nail down specifics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of paper
This paper alerts zone committee members to the process for refreshing zone committee membership, approved by the mayoral forum at its meeting 19 July 2013.

Recommendation
That the committee:
- Note the proposed process for refreshing membership of the zone committee.
- Note the increased emphasis on implementation of ZIPS.

Background
The Canterbury Water Management Strategy (CWMS) was agreed to in November 2009, with the regional committee and first zone committees being appointed in 2010. All committees have now produced their first implementation programmes, and some also addendums.

The initial appointments were for three years.

Following a review started in 2012, and discussions with zone committees in early 2013, a number of recommendations relating to how to refresh membership and to the terms of reference for the committees were taken to the Mayoral Forum for approval.

Refresh of CWMS Committees and Terms of Reference
There is widespread support for shifting to a rotating membership of the Zone Committees, with one third of the community member positions (i.e. two or three members) up for appointment each year. The CWMS Committees want to ensure continuity, given the large amount of learning and relationship capital they have established, but also to allow other interested people to be able to apply. They want existing committee members who have completed their term to be eligible to put their names forward again. There were also requests for the Committee (or its chair) to be involved in the selection process.

In response, Environment Canterbury Commissioners recommended that existing community members are assigned a year at which their term will end – 2013, 2014 or 2015 – so that 1/3 of the community members end their term each year. It is proposed that the committees themselves seek to make these assignments, and use ballots if they cannot reach an agreement. Members are not limited as to how many terms they can be on the committee, so are able to put their names forward for re-selection.

Environment Canterbury does not recommend changing the existing selection process, where recommendations are made by a working party of relevant Councillors, council staff and Rūnanga. The suggestion of including the Zone
Committee Chair in the working party is not supported. There is a high level of public scrutiny over the membership and selection should stay with the CWMS partner organisations. However, working groups will be able to ask the Zone Committee Chair for their views on how individuals would fit into the existing committee.

For efficiency of process, Environment Canterbury would like to run the expressions of interest and selection process at the same time for all CWMS Committees. Existing members can reapply. It is proposed that this be in October/November each year, with new appointments made by December. This approach would allow an induction process to be run in January/February each year.

Environment Canterbury has looked at recent membership changes, and considers that there is no need to change membership of the following committees in 2013

- Banks Peninsula
- Christchurch West-Melton
- Lower Waitaki and
- Kaikoura.

For all other Zone Committees and the Regional Committee, a process of refreshing 1/3 of community members is proposed for October/November 2013. Timing will need to be adjusted around the Council elections in 2013.

In addition, the commissioners recommended an increase in Rūnanga membership to two members for each of Kaikoura and Orari-Opihi-Pareora Zones (in response to a request from Ngāi Tahu that there are at least two Rūnanga representatives on each committee).

The Mayoral Forum approved the following process for refreshment of the Zone committees:

- Ask existing community members to assign themselves an end date of 2013, 2014 or 2015 either by agreement or ballot;
- Environment Canterbury runs an expression of interest process in October/November each year. The process must clearly state the interests of the remaining community members, and that maintaining a “balance of interests” and “collaborative ability” are the key selection criteria;
- Committee selection continues to be made by a working group with representatives from the relevant Territorial Authorities, Environment Canterbury and the local Rūnanga;
- Environment Canterbury develops, with input from the Territorial Authorities, a project plan for the committee refresh and induction process.

That the Mayoral Forum agreed to change the CWMS Zone Committee Terms of Reference to:

- Place more emphasis on ZIP implementation (rather than development);
- Have the Chair and Deputy Chair appointed by the committee each year, with no limit on the number of years anyone can be the chair;
- Remove the existing constraint that limits members to two three-year terms only;
- State that “No Committee shall have the authority to submit on proposed Resource Management or Local Government Plans in its own right, unless it has the permission of the relevant Council to do so.”;
• Provide for the higher honoraria (as per the annual plan submissions to Councils);
• Give a more realistic statement of time (the current version states at least four meetings a year);
• Increase Rununga membership of Kaikoura and Orari-Opihi-Pareora Zones to two members (in response to a request from Ngāi Tahu that there are at least two Rūnanga representatives on each committee);
• Add “ki uta ki tai” to the operating philosophy.

Environment Canterbury Commissioners also recommended a set of minor changes to the Terms of Reference. For the CWMS Regional Committee, there will be changes to emphasise the working group concept, and the addition of a second observer from Central Government (will allow an observer from Ministry of the Environment as well as the existing observer from Ministry of Primary Industries) and one from the Canterbury District Health Board.
Facilitator’s Update
Banks Peninsula Zone Implementation Programme
Date: 20 August 2013

**SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS**
- 47% of recommendations have been acted on
- Recommendation 4.16 Immediate steps project proposals
- Recommendation 9.8 Developing a ZC (Winter edition - CWMS, ZC, Wairewa and sediment and erosion control – copies available and on the website)
- Recommendation 5.4 Erosion and sediment from new subdivision – meeting with CCC, ECAN and Kevin 2 August
- Wairewa sub-regional plan phone survey and open day held
- Recommendation 3.7, 8.1-8.4 meeting with CCC and Christchurch West Melton on the CCC District Plan Review

**WORK IN PROGRESS**
- Recommendation 9.1 Catchment groups, scoping work has begun on Okains Bay, including guidance on consent process for the water supply
- Wairewa sub-regional plan process

**GOOD NEWS STORIES**
- Response from Little River community from the phone survey and open day

**AREAS THAT NEED WORK**
- Recommendation 1.6 measuring kaitiakitanga (within 6 months)
- Recommendation 3.9 CCC to report 6 mthly on fixing leaky pipes
- Recommendation 4.5 2-3 Flagship projects to be selected (within 6 mths)

**RISKS and CONSTRAINTS**

**UPCOMING EVENTS**
- Koukourarata planting day 30th August
- Joint meeting – 3 ZCs and CCC Environment and Infrastructure Committee, 5 September 9am Civic Offices
- Field visit Wairewa catchment September (TBC)
- Youth Hui February/March 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Don’t know Need clarification</th>
<th>Needs Attention</th>
<th>Scoping/Research</th>
<th>Action Underway</th>
<th>Process in Place</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Banks Peninsula Zone Meetings for 2013**

**17 September** Akaroa Sports Complex
- Recommendation 3.1 Permitted use water takes Tim Davie ECAN (confirmed)
- Recommendation 10.5 Testing bathing sites Lesley Bolton-Richie (confirmed)
- Recommendation??? alternative waste water treatment Kevin???

**22 October** Governor Bay Hotel

**19 November** Little River Rugby Clubrooms

**17 December** Governors Bay Hotel
- Recommendation 6.10 Joint meeting with Selwyn-Waihora

- Mahaanui: Iwi Management Plan 2013 (date TBC)
- Land Drainage – Graham Harrington, Senior Surface Water Planner, Tim Ayers, Area Supervisor Land Drainage, Alan Cameron CCC (date TBC)
- Field visit Wairewa catchment (sub-regional plan) – September (date TBC)
- Field visit (farm visit/immediate steps/planting) – Port Levy/Koukourarata (date TBC)
- Review Regional Pest Management Strategy

**Information requested**
- BP global storm-water consent – Brian Norton CCC (July?)

**Stories for next newsletter**
- Climate change and landuse
- Water efficiency
- Monitoring bathing sites

**Members availability**
- Richard Simpson away 31 July - 3 September